Approved For Release 2002/05/08 : CIA-RDP57-00384R000700070113-5

| | OGC HAS REVIEWED. |
|----------------|---|
| STATINTL | Contract Section Thru: Chief, Services Branch General Counsel |
| 017111112 | Contract No. |
| | E. Returned herewith is Contract No. and the accompanying STATINTI |
| STATINTL | 2. We wish to comment on one aspect of the Invitation to Rid. We note that the specifications as to each item are stated by reference to the catalogue numbers with the expression "or equal". There is no criticism of this method of stating specifications as it affords all bidders an opportunity to bid on wares differing in detail but equivalent to what is desired. However, on reviewing the general specifications, it |
| STATINTL | appears that the Invitation states with great definiteness and in great detail characteristics desired, materials, types of finish, measurements and other specifications for all items. These specifications appear to have been taken directly from the |
| STATINTL | a. The Comptroller Temeral has frequently ruled that qualifications on invitations for what may be stated to be a competitive item may in effect restrict the bid to one maker's product and thus in effect eliminate comTATINTI petition. If the attached were read literally, it appears that no one but could have bid. Since is to be awarded the |
| - A T.I.A.IT.I | eentract, this method of invitation might normally be subject to criticism. We note, however, in the responses from the other companies solicited, it appears that they did not take the specifications literally, considering the invitation as a whole, and were in each case unable to make any bid |
| ATINTL | whatsoever. Take, as an example, the answer of the that they are "unable to quote advantageously on the items required." This might be construed to mean that they could not meet the general qualifications of the invitation, but in the next sentence they ask us to keep them in mind for inquiries on laboratory furniture and miscellaneous items, which is a pretty clear indication that they are not able to bid on library equipment. |
| STATINTL | 4. Since all companies other than are unable to make any bid, we feel that the sward to is quite proper in this |
| STATINTL | instance, and the contract is accordingly approved as to legal form in this instance, with the suggestion that in the future specifications always contain some such phrase as "or equal", or otherwise indicate that comparable items complying substantially with the stated needs may be offered. |
| | |

Att: File on